

UNIVERSITY of PENNSYLVANIA



ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

18-th Quarterly Report

**BIOMORPHIC NETWORKS FOR ATR AND
HIGHER-LEVEL PROCESSING**

Period covered: 04/01/99 - 07/01/99

Submitted to:
Office of Naval Research
W. Miceli - Scientific Officer

Grant No: N00014-94-0931

Prepared by:
Nabil H. Farhat, Principal Investigator

July 20, 1999

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for Public Release
Distribution Unlimited

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

19990728 087

During the period of this report we derived an expression for the upper bound on the number N_s of possible states a dynamical network of N processing elements (PEs) whose elements can take on period- m orbits where $0 \leq m \leq L$, L being the number of distinguishable levels over which the state $X_i(n)$ of the i -th PE in the network is measured. Note $m = 0$ designates $X_i(n) = 0$ i.e. an inactive element and $m = 1$ designates an element with fixed point orbit $X_i(n) = \text{const.}$ For large L we find

$$N_s = [eL!]^N \quad (1)$$

In comparison, the number of possible state of a neural network of the same size but employing sigmoidal analog neurons whose states are resolved over L distinguishable levels is $N_s = L^N$. A dynamical network that can exhibit periodic activity has therefore the advantage of a much higher number of states than a conventional network, with no periodic activity; in fact $[e(L-1)!]^N$ times more states. The advantage of dynamical networks becomes even more striking when one considers that in our Parametrically coupled logistic map net (PCLMN) studied earlier [1] with $N = 100$ and assuming say $L = 256$ (8 bit resolution) the number of possible states $[e 256!]^{100}$ is astronomical. All of these states are in principle accessible by extrinsic stimuli giving it enormous classification power as compared for example to a neural net with analog neurons such as Anderson's "Brain State in a Box" (BSB) network [2] which has a maximum of 2^N possible states which occurs only when its connection matrix is strongly diagonal-dominant [3]. What is interesting is that both the BSB net and the PCLMN utilize random coupling matrixes that are strongly diagonal-dominant. Also both can classify a large number of input stimuli, one the PCLMN with the help of $(eL!)^N$ coexisting attractors that can be accessed by the stimuli and the second the BSB with help of 2^N coexisting attractors that are accessible by the stimuli. The major difference is that the PCLMN can handle dynamic input patterns while the BSB only static input patterns applied as the initial state of the network. Because most natural and artificial stimuli are dynamic in the form of spatio-temporal patterns, the utility of the BSB as a classifier net is limited. This limitation does not apply to the PCLMN which can classify or categorize an immense number of environmental stimuli that can be either dynamic or static. Because the output of the PCLMN is also dynamic (a compact dynamic attractor CDA see [1] for detail), it can be regarded as a short-term memory (STM)

trace characterizing the applied stimulus. Such STM traces will be used in our future research to drive a second PCLMN with an autonomous adaptable coupling factors matrix that can learn to convert STMs into permanent long-term memories (LTMs). Our goal is to come up with a learning algorithm that enables learning STMs without cross-talk, (interference) between the formed memories (LTMs) and to achieve large storage capacity. Achieving this goal would provide us with a corticonic network consisting of a tandem connection of two PCLMNs one with fixed coupling for the creation of STMs and the second, with adaptable coupling and most probably global coupling, for the conversion of STMs into LTMs with no cross-talk and high storage capacity.

The development of such a hierarchical corticonic network will be an important step for the design of machines with brain-like intelligence. These will be machines that can autonomously learn from a complex uncontrolled environment and have inbuilt ability to recognize novel inputs in order to learn them automatically while recognizing a familiar input immediately by recreating its appropriate learned response without activating the learning. This is basically the "Holy-Grail" in neural networks and brain modeling. Realizing it would have far reaching implications and will open new possibilities for the design of intelligent systems of interest to ONR. This will be a critical milestone in our research program which we are reasonably confident we can achieve. It is expected to call for an expansion of the program in order to deal with the many new research opportunities that would be opened then.

References:

1. N. Farhat, G-H. Lee and X. Ling, "Dynamical Networks for ATR", NATO RTO Meeting Proceedings 6 on Non-Cooperative Air Target Identification Using Radar, pp. D-1 to D-10, Nov. 1998.
2. J.A. Anderson, J.W. Silverstein, S.A. Ritz and R.S. Jones, "Distinctive Features of Categorical Perception, and Probability Learning: Some Applications of a Neural Model", *Psychological Review*, Vol. 84, pp. 413-451, (1977).
3. S. Haykin, Neural Networks: A Comprehensive Foundation, Macmillan, (1994), (p. 576).

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<small>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and reviewing the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small>				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE 7/20/99	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Quarterly - 04/01/99-07/01/99	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE BIOMORPHIC NETWORKS FOR ATR AND HIGHER- LEVEL PROCESSING			5. FUNDING NUMBERS N00014-94-0931	
6. AUTHOR(S) Nabil H. Farhat				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Nabil H. Farhat, University of Pennsylvania Electrical Engineering Department 200 South 33rd Street Philadelphia, PA 19104-6390			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 18	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Scientific Officer Code: 4414 W.J. Miceli Office of Naval Research, Ballston Tower One 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5660			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)				
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 2	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	